

# New Haven Urban Debate League

## December 8, 2017 Tournament Results

~ paper ballots are at the end of the document ~

| Round | Prime Minister                       | Member of Government                  | Leader of Opposition                 | Member of Opposition               | Winner     | Reason for Decision                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | West Haven<br>Rianne Mustafa<br>5pts | West Haven<br>Gulelala Hassan<br>5pts | Hamden<br>Rohan Kumar<br>6pts        | Hamden<br>Mariam Khan<br>7pts      | Opposition | I voted for Opp -- they made arguments that weighed the potential safety impacts of not using solitary confinement in a way that Gov never responded to. Additionally, they made arguments about victim remorse and other criminal justice ideas that weren't responded to, and responded to all of Gov's arguments about conditions and rights for prisoners. (Individual feedback given to debaters in person)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 1     | ESUMS<br>Pranav Kandarda<br>8pts     | ESUMS<br>Pranav Kandarda<br>8pts      | Betsy Ross<br>Juliana Webber<br>6pts | Betsy Ross<br>Olivia Gomez<br>5pts | Government | i) Better points with a smoother, more organized structure. I could follow the arguments of Gov clearly while seeing their counterpoints<br>ii) Pranav -- Speak a little slower and feel free to use more time since you have it<br>Juliana and Olivia -- Be confident! You have great points and arguments, just make sure that everyone know them!                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 1     | West Haven<br>Liz Hall<br>7pts       | West Haven<br>Tia Charachgi<br>8pts   | Betsy Ross<br>Chow-Yen<br>6pts       | Betsy Ross<br>Mack<br>7pts         | Government | Overall, both of OPP's arguments were convincingly addressed by the government. Breaking the round down into (a) outcomes for prisoners and (b) outcomes for society/prison best practices, GOV's arguments stood. OPP was not able to convincingly address the harms of solitary confinement as defined by GOV, and though OPP did have some arguments that weighed against these GOV arguments effectively, these needed more effective warranting and impacting to outweigh. Both sides could have benefitted from talking more explicitly about their philosophies of punishment, especially since GOV was more geared towards rehabilitation and OPP retribution/incapacitation. |
| 1     | Betsy Ross<br>Tatyana<br>6pts        | Betsy Ross<br>Analise<br>5pts         | Hamden<br>Akshar<br>8pts             | Hamden<br>Matt<br>7pts             | Opposition | The opposition was able to effectively refute all points made by the government, while the government restated their own contentions for the most part. Tatyana - good speaking skills, but could've made more researched arguments. Analise - would've liked more concrete counterpoints. Akshar - very good all around. Measured and effective speeches. Matt - isn't necessary to chase down every comment made by your opponent. Almost went off the rails                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 1     | Sound Roman                          | Sound Roman<br>Khandakhe              | West Haven<br>Sabrina                | West Haven<br>Sabrina              | Government | Gov gave less restrictive mechanisms of making sure that prisons can be safe. In addition, if prisoners are continually subject to mental abuse via solitary confinement, then ultimately they will end up hurting                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

|   |                                  |                                |                              |                                                     |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   | Khandaker 6pts                   | r (again) 6pts                 | Accilly 5pts                 | Accilly (again, partner didn't want to debate) 5pts |            | people more than if they had not been in it in the first place. Similarly, prisoners have plenty of time to reflect on bad decisions while in prison, or if they are punished.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 1 | ESUMS Thomas 8pts                | ESUMS Hilton 8pts              | Wilbur Cross Jenkins 9pts    | Wilbur Cross Stevenson 8pts                         | Opposition | oral feedback given<br>each team should weigh protection effects more, whether it's worse to be alone or to get beat up<br>gov needs to be specific on alternatives that are better in both worlds<br>discipline measures for opp went generally unresponded to<br>great debate<br>both teams should flow more during partner speeches to not step on each others' toes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 1 | Betsy Ross Genesis Bendolph 8pts | Betsy Ross Shamar Miller 7pts  | Sound Elizabeth Fontana 7pts | Sound La'Risa Green 7pts                            | Government | This was a really close round, and all four of you did very, very well! The opposition was winning throughout much of the debate, but government was able to come back with refutations to many of the opposition's arguments. For example, opp successfully argued that people can't bring self-harm devices into solitary confinement cells, but gov pointed to evidence that people bang their heads against the wall, choke themselves, etc. that leads to harm. They also won the argument that after being released from solitary, people who have been there will pose more harm to other prisoners, defeating the opposition's contentions 1 and 2. Opposition did win the argument about prevention of radicalization. Government: try to organize your points more into contentions, and also organize your responses to your opponents' arguments. |
| 1 | Sound Ciara Ortiz 6pts           | Sound Sebastian Villanova 7pts | ESUMS Guido Secchiaroli 7pts | ESUMS None - the opp was one person 7pts            | Opposition | Oral feedback. Opp does better weighing on safety. Gov did not provide enough arguments in the PM. Econ arguments and constitutional arguments were good, but not developed enough to vote on. Opp does better line-by-line analysis on key issues. Overall, a great round. I hope both teams have fun during the rest of the tournament.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 1 | Betsy Ross Marcus pouncey 5pts   | Betsy Ross Marcus pouncey 4pts | ESUMS Prastik Mohanraj 8pts  | ESUMS Alex Rakov 6pts                               | Opposition | Opp won because they had three well reasoned points that did not go rebutted, while gov didn't have clear points and the arguments gov presented were thoroughly rebutted. Gov: signpost, rebut their points, don't use a study that was done on one person not even in a prison. Opp: framework more clear, Alex look at the judge, point out gov didn't rebut your points. Prastik you spoke very eloquently, but don't move so much! Nice job everyone                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 1 | Hamden Matthew                   | Hamden Lucas                   | NHA Anthony                  | NHA Anthony                                         | Opposition | No feedback due to late start                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

|   |                                        |                                           |                                      |                                         |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   | Mueller<br>8pts                        | Alexanda<br>6pts                          | Campbell<br>7pts                     | Campbell<br>7pts                        |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 2 | Betsy Ross<br>Gihan Mack<br>5pts       | Betsy Ross<br>Ai Ling<br>Chuw-yem<br>4pts | Sound<br>Ciara<br>Ortiz 7pts         | Sound<br>Sebastian<br>Villanova<br>7pts | Opposition | Government provides me with some reasons why private prisons are good, but not why they are a net good--that is, why those benefits outweigh the costs provided to me by Opposition. I think that Government should try to think more about responses they could give and engaging with the other time, and try to fill out their time, in order to win rounds in the future.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2 | West Haven<br>Adrianna Accioly<br>5pts | West Haven<br>Pablo Bastida<br>4pts       | Betsy Ross<br>Loren Brown<br>5pts    | Betsy Ross<br>Sasha Cox<br>5pts         | Opposition | i) Opp had more concrete examples and overall made a more logical, coherent, and sound argument<br>ii) Gov -- Try working on finding better examples to boost your points. Many of the true points you made had no evidence to support them, and then you also made many false points without evidence<br>Opp -- Your arguments were good, but there should be more of them! Work on structure and finding more things to support your case                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 2 | Bridgeport<br>Katarina Ruiz<br>6pts    | Bridgeport<br>Chunjang Bruynder<br>7pts   | West Haven<br>Elizabeth Hall<br>7pts | West Haven<br>Elizabeth Hall<br>6pts    | Government | OPP won Morality argument: although gov dealt with the effects of this, gov didn't engage the point that it is immoral to use people instrumentally in order to make a profit. That being said, OPP didn't impact this point enough to win them the round<br>GOV won More jobs: gov conceded this point<br>Better training point was a wash--neither side gave adequate warrants so it turned into an assertion debate<br>Money and vendors were also a wash.                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2 | Sound Elizabeth<br>6pts                | Sound La'Risa<br>7pts                     | ESUMS<br>Evan 8pts                   | ESUMS<br>Jay 8pts                       | Opposition | The government was unable to establish private prisons as bringing a net benefit, as they conceded to the point that public prisons are at least equal to private prisons regarding the economy and treatment of prisoners.<br>Elizabeth: You were very under time for your first speech, and I would've liked more nuanced arguments.<br>La'Risa: You gave a great speech, but had a difficult time proving private prisons as being superior. Evan: You had really nice structure and your counterpoints were easy to follow. Jay: You gave a very complex and technical speech, but your organization and clarity made it difficult to follow at times. |
| 2 | Betsy Ross<br>juliana webber<br>6pts   | Betsy Ross<br>Olivia Gomez<br>6pts        | Hamden<br>Mathew Mueller<br>6pts     | Hamden<br>Lucas Alexander<br>7pts       | Opposition | use the points of information. if you are going give a short speech, take the one POI that the other team offers.<br><br>both teams need to impact arguments. why does the intent of private prisons matter? Why is saving money super important?<br>BR Leader- lots of good arguments. try to organize them together into contentions for the judge. having a tagline allows you to return to key ideas in rebuttals. Hamden - explain the intent argument more. i think you could impact this more. explain why intent of system outweighs an minor financial benefit.                                                                                   |

|   |                                        |                                          |                                          |                                        |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|---|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   |                                        |                                          |                                          |                                        |            | <p>BR member - the response that intent does not necessarily matter is good. show concretely why profit motive will not distort justice.</p> <p>Hamden MO - not a big fan of the source arguments. give analytical reason why your args are true and then point out that the other team doesnt have warrants.</p> <p>voted for Opposition: winning that intent of private prisons is antithetical to judicial system and that conditions in prison violate 5th amendment. prop needs to show my job creation outweighs. and a</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 2 | NHA<br>Anthony Campbell<br>18pts       | NHA<br>Anthony Campbell<br>8pts          | Metro<br>Juwan Sims<br>8pts              | Metro<br>Leilani Rivera<br>6pts        | Government | <p>Government - because I felt that more of their arguments still stood at the end of the round. This was a tough decision, because all debaters in the round were very fluent, eloquent, and were persuasive. For Government, some of the things you stated as refutation - such as private prisons not influencing the government - could be easily refuted by your opponent, so be careful. I thought you were very confident and fluent which was great. To improve, I think using more specific language - rather than generic hypotheticals - could make you even better! For Opposition Sims, you had some great arguments about private prisons encouraging mass incarceration, which was a great high-level argument. To improve, I would suggest speaking a little louder and more confidently, with a clearer structure. For Opposition Rivera, you had some great points! In your refutation speech, the only issue for me was that you didn't directly address your opponent's arguments. Rather, you started just reiterating what your partner had said and bringing up new facts. For improvement, be more confident next time, because you had interesting things to say, and also make sure to directly refute your opponent. Thanks everyone!</p> |
| 2 | Betsy Ross<br>Sivanna Clements<br>6pts | Betsy Ross<br>Same - one person<br>6pts  | ESUMS<br>Owen Heaphy<br>9pts             | ESUMS<br>Alim Rodica<br>8pts           | Opposition | <p>Oral feedback. Opp did a great job on line-by-line analysis and responding to every point made by government. Opp's three points were persuasive and well-warranted. The third point, about the philosophical reason why we shouldn't have private prisons, would have been better labelled as a social contract argument. The gov did an amazing job in their 4 minute constructive speech, and was able to stand their own against a great opp team. They did some excellent debating, and have a bright future in the UDL.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 2 | Wilbur Cross<br>Tyler Jenkins<br>8pts  | Wilbur Cross<br>Shane Stephenson<br>7pts | West Haven<br>Jennifer Hernandez<br>7pts | West Haven<br>Anthony Carlucci<br>7pts | Government | <p>Explained in round. Had more arguments left untouched by the opposition. Good debate from both sides.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 2 | Hamden<br>Mariam                       | Hamden<br>Rohan                          | Betsy<br>Ross                            | Betsy Ross<br>Marcus                   | Government | <p>Great job by all debaters. I voted for the government side because their arguments were much more cogently posed in a way that I could understand, and they</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|   |                                              |                                             |                                              |                                     |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   | Khan<br>7pts                                 | Kumar<br>7pts                               | Marcus<br>Pouncey<br>4pts                    | Pouncey<br>5pts                     |            | delivered more targeted refutations to the opposition's arguments. Mariam: make sure to add warrants to your arguments. You have claims (what the argument is) and impacts (why the argument matters) but not as many warrants (why the argument is true). Your eye contact and tone variation are great. Rohan: you did a great job coming up with refutations to Marcus' arguments on the fly, and your refutations were very effective in defeating a lot of his arguments. Make sure to also prop up your own side's arguments, which Mariam made in the first speech. Marcus: you have a lot of great ideas, but you need to slow down and organize them so I understand what your arguments are and how they work together. It is a lot easier to vote for you when I understand exactly what argument you're making. You could try organizing into 2-4 contentions and grouping all of your arguments under that. |
| 2 | ESUMS<br>Guido<br>Secchiaroli 7pts           | ESUMS<br>Guido<br>Secchiaroli 7pts          | Betsy<br>Ross<br>Genesis<br>Bendolph<br>6pts | Betsy Ross<br>Shamar<br>Miller 6pts | Government | GOV arguments were refuted, but GOV responses to LOC refutations were convincing, and MO and LOR basically reiterated their second-speech refutations. I would have needed to see a response to MG defense in the MO in order to consider GOV arguments defeated. OPP would have benefitted from more warranting on their own arguments, and GOV responses won out on these as well. The issue of size and likelihood of escape was a muddle throughout the round and on both sides, but I think GOV edges out on the balance of arguments.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2 | Sound<br>Raman<br>khondker 7pts              | Sound<br>Roman<br>Khondker<br>7pts          | Hamden<br>Aiden<br>Greene<br>8pts            | Hamden<br>Kavi<br>Talwalker<br>6pts | Opposition | The opp continued to repeat the same line of argument in rebuttals and argumentation without new reasoning or warrants. However, it also seemed to be the case that the government did not not refute all the points on side opposition and defined the resolution clearly. The Opp should have brought it up but it is the Gov's burden of proof. They also dropped some arguments and should clarify their definition next time earlier in the round. Great speaking styles/eloquence in the gov team and think that they have a lot of potential with more experience.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 2 | West<br>Haven<br>Sabrinna<br>Accioly<br>6pts | West<br>Haven<br>Rowanne<br>Mustafa<br>8pts | Betsy<br>Ross<br>Tatyana<br>Smalls<br>4pts   | Betsy Ross<br>Analise<br>Ayala 4pts | Government | Gov: well reasoned, laid out arguments in an organized manner, try to use more concrete examples rather than broad terms like 'everything'.<br>Opp: did not understand question so it was difficult for them to argue. I suggest trying to learn about the topic if possible!<br><br>ii) Rowanne was excellent!!! So proud!!!! Sabrina targeted other sides' way of speaking which was inappropriate I thought.<br><br>Tatyana and Analise should each try to learn more about the topic.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

|   |                                     |                                        |                                          |                                        |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | ESUMS<br>Evan Thomas<br>7pts        | ESUMS<br>Jay Hilton<br>7pts            | Betsy Ross<br>Sasha Cohen-Co<br>x 5pts   | Betsy Ross<br>Loren Brown<br>6pts      | Government | i) both teams gave it a really nice shot, but ultimately the government had more points and were responsive to the opposition's points, while the opposition kept asserting the same points and were not responsive to the government's points. overall, the opposition could have been more conversational and practiced with their speeches, but they made a really valiant effort with a much harder argument. both teams did great!                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 3 | Betsy Ross<br>Marcus Pouncy<br>6pts | Betsy Ross<br>also Marcus<br>6pts      | West Haven<br>Jennifer Hernandez<br>8pts | West Haven<br>Anthony Carlucci<br>6pts | Opposition | Opposition gave me a few reasons why the death penalty is useful--for example, as a deterrent and so as to prevent recidivism. I think the way that Government could have turned around and won this debate is through more engagement--telling me why those points are wrong and theirs is right. In addition, Government could have provided me with the structural reasons why the government might lock up and kill innocent people.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 3 | Metro<br>Henry Seyue<br>9pts        | Metro<br>same 9pts                     | Betsy Ross<br>Smith<br>6pts              | Betsy Ross<br>Anderson<br>5pts         | Government | Verbal Feedback                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 3 | Betsy Ross<br>Gihon Mack<br>8pts    | Betsy Ross<br>Ai Ling Chow Yen<br>6pts | Metro<br>Juwan Sims<br>7pts              | Metro<br>Leilani Rivera<br>6pts        | Opposition | Great job by all debaters! I voted for the opposition because they were able to provide more, strong arguments to support their side. The debate was tied in many ways, so opp was able to gain the edge by simply having more convincing points. Gihon: your points are great, so try to use all your time! Great speaking style. Ai Ling: great conclusion and style. Try to add more details to your arguments. Juwan: well-thought through arguments were very convincing; well done! Leilani: don't talk to your partner or talk about why you might not have won. Be confident, because you're great! Good job refuting points while supporting your own.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 3 | Hamden<br>Mariam Khan<br>8pts       | Hamden<br>Rohan Kumar<br>7pts          | ESUMS<br>Pranav Kandarpa<br>8pts         | ESUMS<br>Pranav Kandarpa<br>8pts       | Government | RFD: Opp didn't adequately attack govt's point that individuals are wrongly convicted, in part as a result of biases, etc. All of the other arguments largely flowed out of the round as explained below.<br><br>The one argument that opp had left standing at the end of the round was that alternatives are worse. However, this wasn't adequately weighed, and opp's use of straw men significantly undercut it. (Opp made it seem like either people get death penalty or they get away with it, which doesn't really work especially given that gov repeatedly mentioned that life sentences are the actual alternative). Because Opp didn't engage adequately with gov's argument that life in prison is an alternative, this argument was weighted less heavily than gov's outstanding argument.<br><br>Both sides asserted that they had the moral high ground, but neither side justified why their side was ACTUALLY more moral. For example, govt said it was |

|   |                                  |                                          |                                             |                                                 |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   |                                  |                                          |                                             |                                                 |            | <p>wrong to take somebody's life by executed them, but they never EXPLAINED WHY. Similarly, the OPP said that people did really bad things so they deserve to be killed, but failed to actually explain this link. Why does the fact that you did bad things mean that you deserve to die?</p> <p>Cost analysis was based only on assertions and washed out of the round.</p> <p>Please make sure you used the rebuttal speeches to WEIGH and explain why (a) you won the arguments but, (b) (MORE IMPORTANT) why the arguments that you won are the most important arguments. Neither side gave the judge a framework of evaluating the round.</p> <p>Individual feedback given orally.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 3 | ESUMS<br>Owen<br>Heefe<br>9pts   | ESUMS<br>Radikan<br>8pts                 | Hamden<br>Agarwal<br>8pts                   | Hamden<br>Mariello<br>7pts                      | Government | <p>I) the debate was effectively framed around the points gov won, though the entire debate was strong I gave them feedback in the room.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 3 | Sound<br>Ciara<br>Ortiz<br>7pts  | Sound<br>sebastian<br>villanueva<br>7pts | Wilbur<br>Cross<br>Tyler<br>Jenkins<br>8pts | Wilbur<br>Cross<br>Shane<br>Stephenso<br>n 7pts | Opposition | <p>First Pro: expand more on each contention. add more substance to the constructive and show why the risk of killing an innocent person outweighs other impacts. bring some of the arguments that you had in the last speech to the first speech.</p> <p>First Con: comparison between deterrence and the risk of killing an innocent person.</p> <p>Second Pro: good line by line analysis. you covered the flow. expand the idea that any one on death row could reenter society productively. I was confused on the response to the death penalty as a deterrent. spend more time explaining why killing is categorically wrong.</p> <p>Second con: where did this 7% increase in crime come from? more comparison about cost reduction. Go for higher level issues like deterrence vs risk of an innocent person dying.</p> <p>Opp wins that death penalty is necessary for deterrence and that the risk of killing someone innocent is extremely low. Pro needs to do more comparison.</p> |
| 3 | Betsy<br>Ross<br>Genesis<br>9pts | Betsy Ross<br>Shamar<br>8pts             | West<br>Haven<br>Rianne<br>8pts             | West<br>Haven<br>Rianne<br>8pts                 | Government | <p>The final clash came down to whether or not it was right for the state to kill people when they condemn that same action when committed by its citizens. Ultimately, I think that the government had superior logic and debated with more nuance, proving that the death penalty can only be costly for the morals of a society and the lives of those who are wrongly killed.</p> <p>Genesis - Really incredible first speech. I was impressed with the organization and the strength of all your logic.</p> <p>Shamar - Strong speech. You need to work on speaking</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

|   |                                       |                                       |                                              |                                                        |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|   |                                       |                                       |                                              |                                                        |            | <p>more fluidly, but your points and counterarguments were sound. Brush up on question etiquette. Rianne - Also, really great speeches. You constructed your arguments with great logic. Would've liked more powerful counterarguments though.</p>                                                                                                                                                          |
| 3 | Hamden<br>Aidan<br>Greene<br>8pts     | Hamden<br>Kavi<br>Talwalkar<br>7pts   | Betsy<br>Ross<br>Savannah<br>Klemens<br>5pts | Betsy Ross<br>none -<br>Savannah<br>was alone<br>10pts | Government | <p>I think the team won because they clearly had thought a lot about the arguments and were careful in their signposting. They responded directly to their opponent's arguments (although this may have been a bit more succinct and direct). Feedback was given in person. I emphasized that a moment of silence/breath could be useful to all to make sure that points were articulate and connected.</p> |
| 3 | Hamden<br>Matthew<br>Mueller<br>9pts  | Hamden<br>Lucas<br>Alexander<br>8pts  | West<br>Haven<br>Adrianna<br>Accioly<br>6pts | West<br>Haven<br>Pablo<br>Bastida<br>5pts              | Government | <p>Explained in round.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 3 | ESUMS<br>Guido<br>Secchiaroli<br>7pts | ESUMS<br>Guido<br>Secchiaroli<br>7pts | West<br>Haven<br>Sabrinna<br>Accioly<br>7pts | West<br>Haven<br>Roxanne<br>Mustafa<br>8pts            | Opposition | <p>The government last speech was really good. I thought that during the round that government could have more support for his points during the round instead of repeating his position for multiple arguments and rebuttals. Gov speaking style was good. The opp had more varied arguments and good rebuttals, especially on the second opp speech.</p>                                                  |



### New Haven UDL Ballot

Debate's Name: Chipp Strub Round: 1 Room Number: 113

| GOVERNMENT                                  | OPPOSITION                                  |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: _____                            | Team Name: <u>Greene, Talwalkar</u>         |
| 1: <u>Laila Smith</u>                       | LO: <u>Aidan Greene</u>                     |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10    | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10    |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10 | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10 |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10    | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10    |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10        | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10        |
| Comments: _____                             | Comments: _____                             |
| Score: <u>24</u> Rank: <u>4</u>             | Score: <u>33</u> Rank: <u>1</u>             |
| MG: <u>Jayla Anderson</u>                   | MO: <u>Kavi Talwalkar</u>                   |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10    | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10    |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10 | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10 |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10    | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10    |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10        | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10        |
| Comments: _____                             | Comments: _____                             |
| Score: <u>25</u> Rank: <u>3</u>             | Score: <u>29</u> Rank: <u>2</u>             |
| Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____       | Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____       |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

- (1) Safer
- (2) Safer money

### New Haven UDL Ballot

Judge's Name: Ron Rapic Round: 1 Room Number: \_\_\_\_\_

| GOVERNMENT                                                                                         | OPPOSITION                                                                                                               |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: <u>ESUMS</u>                                                                            | Team Name: _____                                                                                                         |
| PM: <u>Owen Heaphy</u>                                                                             | LO: <u>Adrianna Accioly</u>                                                                                              |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                           | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                        | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                              |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10                                                           | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10                                                               | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                     |
| Comments:<br><u>Good command of issue... Very good points &amp; arguments. Good speaking style</u> | Comments:<br><u>Nice arguments on a difficult side of resolution. Rebuttal could have been stronger</u>                  |
| Score: <u>34</u> Rank: <u>1</u>                                                                    | Score: <u>27</u> Rank: <u>3</u>                                                                                          |
| MG: <u>Alim Rodican</u>                                                                            | MO: <u>Pablo Bastida</u>                                                                                                 |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                           | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                        | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                              |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                           | Structure: 1 2 3 4 <u>(5)</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10                                                               | Style: 1 2 3 4 <u>(5)</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                     |
| Comments:<br><u>Very good counter. Argument a bit rambling. Good speaking style</u>                | Comments:<br><u>Great job for 1st debate! Remember to support decider &amp; clearly defend &amp; explain your points</u> |
| Score: <u>33</u> Rank: <u>2</u>                                                                    | Score: <u>22</u> Rank: <u>4</u>                                                                                          |
| Total Score: <u>67</u> Total Ranks: _____                                                          | Total Score: <u>49</u> Total Ranks: _____                                                                                |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

---



---



---



### New Haven UDL Ballot

Judge's Name: Ron Rapicc Round: 2 Room Number: 203

| GOVERNMENT                                                                                                              | OPPOSITION                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: <u>ESUMS Mohanraj - Rakov</u>                                                                                | Team Name: <u>Betsy Ross: Anderson - Smith</u>                                                                         |
| PM: <u>PRASTIK MOHANRAJ</u> <del>✗</del>                                                                                | LO: <u>Laila Smith</u>                                                                                                 |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>9</u> 10                                                                                  | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>9</u> 10                                                                               | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                              |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>9</u> 10                                                                                  | Structure: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>9</u> 10                                                                                      | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                                     |
| Comments:<br><u>Good definitions, well structured argument, good command of topic. Good rebuttal. Excellent debate!</u> | Comments:<br><u>Good speaking style. I think you were confused however of how private prisons work. Good rebuttal.</u> |
| Score: <u>36</u> Rank: <u>1</u>                                                                                         | Score: <u>22</u> Rank: <u>3T</u>                                                                                       |
| MG: <u>ALEX RAKOV</u>                                                                                                   | MO: <u>Jayla Anderson</u>                                                                                              |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                                  | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>9</u> 10                                                                               | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                              |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                                  | Structure: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                                 |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                                      | Style: 1 2 3 4 <del>5</del> 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                          |
| Comments:<br><u>Good rebuttal of opp argument. Good support of PM. Well structured. Excellent counter-part to PM.</u>   | Comments:<br><u>Should have supported the leader arguments + rebutted Govt better. Good speaking style.</u>            |
| Score: <u>33</u> Rank: <u>2</u>                                                                                         | Score: <u>22</u> Rank: <u>3T</u>                                                                                       |
| Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____                                                                                   | Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____                                                                                  |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

---



---



---

### New Haven UDL Ballot

Judge's Name: Chipp Schwab Round: 2 Room Number: 112

| GOVERNMENT                                   | OPPOSITION                                   |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: <u>WHHS Jiang/Coriolan</u>        | Team Name: <u>ESUMS</u>                      |
| MO: <u>Winnie Jiang</u>                      | LO: <u>Pranav Kandarpa</u>                   |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10     | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10     |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10  | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10  |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10     | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <u>(10)</u>     |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         |
| Comments:                                    | Comments:                                    |
| Score: <u>34</u> Rank: <u>2</u>              | Score: <u>35</u> Rank: <u>1</u>              |
| MG: <u>Doelle coriolan</u>                   | MO: <u>Pranav Kandarpa</u>                   |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10     | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10     |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10  | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10  |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10     | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <u>(10)</u>     |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         |
| Comments:                                    | Comments:                                    |
| Score: <u>30</u> Rank: <u>3</u>              | Score: <u>35</u> Rank: <u>1</u>              |
| Total Score: <u>64</u> Total Ranks: <u>5</u> | Total Score: <u>70</u> Total Ranks: <u>2</u> |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

Conflict of interest; max \$.

✓ **New Haven UDL Ballot**

Judge's Name: Ron Rapice Round: 3 Room Number: 115

| GOVERNMENT                                                                                                                            | OPPOSITION                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: _____                                                                                                                      | Team Name: _____                                                                                                        |
| Team: <u>Juliana webber</u>                                                                                                           | Team: <u>Chunjiang</u>                                                                                                  |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                              | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                           | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                             |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                              | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                                  | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                                                    |
| Comments:<br><u>Good delivery. Argument was a little repetitive + disorganized but good points were made. Need stronger rebuttal.</u> | Comments:<br><u>Good counter. Argument needed to be stronger but the points made were good.</u>                         |
| Score: <u>26</u> Rank: <u>3</u>                                                                                                       | Score: <u>29</u> Rank: <u>2</u>                                                                                         |
| Team: <u>Olivia Gomez</u>                                                                                                             | Team: <u>Katerina</u>                                                                                                   |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                              | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10                                                                                           | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                             |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                              | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10                                                                                                  | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>(6)</u> 8 9 10                                                                                      |
| Comments:<br><u>Very good counter to Opponent argument. Need stronger support for own side argument however.</u>                      | Comments:<br><u>Good delivery but arguments + counter were too disjointed. Need to follow better flow with partner.</u> |
| Score: <u>31</u> Rank: <u>1</u>                                                                                                       | Score: <u>24</u> Rank: <u>4</u>                                                                                         |
| Total Score: <u>57</u> Total Ranks: _____                                                                                             | Total Score: <u>53</u> Total Ranks: _____                                                                               |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

close debate. Govt had a better structure argument + rebuttal + countered better than oppositen

✓  
**New Haven UDL Ballot**

Judge's Name: Chipp Schrab Round: 3 Room Number: 012

| GOVERNMENT                                     | OPPOSITION                                   |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: <u>ESUMU - Mohanraj &amp; Rakov</u> | Team Name: <u>Roman Khondker - Sarv</u>      |
| PM: <u>PRASTIK MOHANRAJ</u>                    | LO: <u>Roman Khondker</u>                    |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10       | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10     |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10    | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10  |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10       | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10     |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10           | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         |
| Comments:<br>_____<br>_____                    | Comments:<br>_____<br>_____                  |
| Score: <u>32</u> Rank: <u>2</u>                | Score: <u>33</u> Rank: <u>1</u>              |
| MG: <u>ALEX RAKOV</u>                          | MO: <u>Roman Khondker</u>                    |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10       | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10     |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10    | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <u>(9)</u> 10  |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>(7)</u> 8 9 10       | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10     |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10           | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>(8)</u> 9 10         |
| Comments:<br>_____<br>_____                    | Comments:<br>_____<br>_____                  |
| Score: <u>29</u> Rank: <u>3</u>                | Score: <u>33</u> Rank: <u>1</u>              |
| Total Score: <u>61</u> Total Ranks: <u>5</u>   | Total Score: <u>66</u> Total Ranks: <u>2</u> |

Winner: GOV/OPP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

- 1 Better for economy
- 2 Cost reduction

### New Haven UDL Ballot

Judge's Name: Anabelle Pan Round: 3 Room Number: 205

| GOVERNMENT                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | OPPOSITION                                                                                                           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Team Name: _____                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Team Name: _____                                                                                                     |
| PM: <u>Elizabeth Hall</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                              | LO: <u>Elizabeth Fontana</u>                                                                                         |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u> 6 7 8 9 10                                                                               |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                              | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                            |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> <u>7</u> <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                 |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                            |
| Comments: <u>... nice anecdote on "beyond..." she show</u><br><u>Great eye contact &amp; speaking style!!!</u><br><u>You seem very comfortable. Work on contentions!</u><br><u>being organized &amp; combining some ideas together</u> | Comments: <u>Good speaking style! Very clear</u><br><u>Your args only took 6-45 seconds, try to get more content</u> |
| Score: <u>25</u> Rank: _____                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Score: <u>26</u> Rank: _____                                                                                         |
| MG: <u>Tia Chorachi</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                | MO: <u>La'Piza Green</u>                                                                                             |
| Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Arguments: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                               |
| Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                              | Counterarg's: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                            |
| Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Structure: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                               |
| Style: 1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 8 9 10                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Style: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <u>8</u> 9 10                                                                                   |
| Comments: <u>You were very thorough w/ your rebuttals!! Good job</u>                                                                                                                                                                   | Comments: <u>Super persuasive style! well done.</u>                                                                  |
| Score: <u>25</u> Rank: _____                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Score: <u>31</u> Rank: _____                                                                                         |
| Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Total Score: _____ Total Ranks: _____                                                                                |

Winner: GOV OP (Circle One)

Reason for Decision:

---



---



---